ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 02008.143001

U.S. PATENT APPLICATION SERIAL NO.: 10/771,022

SEP 0 6 1005 P

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Hiroyuki Ishida Art Unit : 2875 Serial No.: 10/771,022 Examiner : Ali Alavi

Filed: February 3, 2004

Title : Vehicular Headlamp and Optical Unit

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY UNDER 37 CFR § 1.111

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated July 12, 2005, the Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the above referenced application in view of the following remarks.

I. Disposition of Claims

Claims 1-10 are pending in the present application.

II. Terminal Disclaimer

The Examiner rejected claims 1-4, 6-8, and 10 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting in view of co-pending Application No. 10/769,056. The Applicant files herewith an appropriate terminal disclaimer. Accordingly, withdrawal of the double patenting rejection is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections under 35 USC § 102 III.

The Examiner rejected claim 9 under 35 USC § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S.

Patent No 6,819,505 ("Cassarly"). The priority date of the present application precedes the filing

date of Cassarly. The Applicant has perfected the claim for priority by submitting a verified

English translation of the priority application (attached hereto). Accordingly, withdrawal of the

35 USC § 102(e) rejection of claim 9 is respectfully requested.

IV. Allowable Subject Matter

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating that claim 5 contains allowable subject

matter. In view of this reply, all claims should now be allowable. Accordingly, withdrawal of

the objection is respectfully requested.

V. **Information Disclosure Statements**

The Applicant notes that the PTO Form SB/08 from the Information Disclosure

Statements filed on October 14, 2004 and February 22, 2005 were not returned with the Office

Action dated July 12, 2005. The Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner properly

consider and return the Information Disclosure Statements.

VI. Conclusion

The Applicant believes that this paper is responsive to each and every ground of rejection

cited by the Examiner in the Action dated July 12, 2005, and places the application in condition

2

for allowance. If this belief is incorrect, or other issues arise, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned or his associates at the number listed below. Please apply any charges not covered, or any credits, to Deposit Account 50-0591 (Reference No. 02008.143001).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 5(65

Thomas K. Scherer, Reg. No. 45,079

OSHA • Liang LLP

1221 McKinney Street, Suite 2800

Houston, TX 77010

Telephone: (713) 228-8600 Facsimile: (713) 228-8778

114635_1